

Section 1. EPP Profile Updates in AIMS

2026 Annual Accreditation Report : Annual Accreditation Report : Section 1. EPP Profile Updates in AIMS

1.1. I confirm that the EPP has listed the correct contact information for both individuals designated as "EPP Primary" and "EPP Secondary" under the Role section for the EPP.

- Agree
 Disagree

1.2. I confirm that the information displayed in the EPP Details tab (including mailing address, EPP name, Carnegie classification, EPP type, religious affiliation, language of instruction, and institutional accreditation) is up-to-date and accurately reflected in AIMS 2.0. Additionally, I confirm that the EPP Locations tab accurately reflects the EPP's main campus, as well as any associated branch campuses or auxiliary locations.

- Agree
 Disagree

1.3. I confirm that EPP s licensure area listings [including program name, degree level, licensure level, licensure (program) category, and selected program review option for your CAEP Review] are up-to-date and accurately reflected in AIMS 2.0 for all licensure areas that fall within CAEP s scope of accreditation.

- Agree
 Disagree

1.4. Does your EPP recommend candidates for licensure in more than one state/country?

- Yes - The EPP recommends candidates for licensure in more than one state/country.
 No - The EPP recommends candidates for licensure in only one state/country.

1.5. Please select all states/countries in which your EPP recommends candidates for licensure.

- Alabama-AL
 Alaska-AK
 Arizona-AZ
 Arkansas-AR
 California-CA
 Colorado-CO
 Connecticut-CT
 Delaware-DE
 District of Columbia-DC
 Florida-FL

Georgia-GA

- Hawaii-HI
- Idaho-ID
- Illinois-IL
- Indiana-IN
- Iowa-IA
- Kansas-KS
- Kentucky-KY
- Louisiana-LA
- Maine-ME
- Maryland-MD
- Massachusetts-MA
- Michigan-MI
- Minnesota-MN
- Mississippi-MS
- Missouri-MO
- Montana-MT
- Nebraska-NE
- Nevada-NV
- New Hampshire-NH
- New Jersey-NJ
- New Mexico-NM
- New York-NY
- North Carolina-NC
- North Dakota-ND
- Ohio-OH
- Oklahoma-OK
- Oregon-OR
- Pennsylvania-PA
- Rhode Island-RI
- South Carolina-SC
- South Dakota-SD
- Tennessee-TN
- Texas-TX
- Utah-UT
- Vermont-VT
- Virginia-VA
- Washington-WA
- West Virginia-WV
- Wisconsin-WI
- Wyoming-WY
- Puerto Rico-PR
-

Bahrain-BH

- Indonesia-IND
- Jordan-JO
- Kuwait-KW
- Oman-OM
- Qatar-QA
- Scotland-UK-SC
- United Arab Emirates-UAE

Section 2. EPP s Program Graduates [Academic Year 2024-2025]

2026 Annual Accreditation Report : Annual Accreditation Report :
Section 2. EPP s Program Graduates [Academic Year 2024-2025]

2.1. Total number of candidates who graduated from programs that prepared them to work in P-12 settings during Academic Year 2024-2025. Enter a numeric value for each textbox below. Note that some fields will be automatically calculated or prepopulated with last year's data.

2.1.1 What is the number of graduates in programs leading to initial teacher certification or licensure?

For a description of the scope for initial-licensure level and advanced level programs, see Policy II in the [CAEP Accreditation Policies and Procedures](#).

Previous Year Number of initial-licensure level Graduates:

2.1.2 What is the number of graduates in advanced programs or programs leading to a degree, endorsement, or some other credential that prepares the holder to serve in P-12 schools? Please do not include those completers counted above.

For a description of the scope for initial-licensure level and advanced level programs, see Policy II in the [CAEP Accreditation Policies and Procedures](#).

Previous Year Number of advanced level Graduates:

0

Total number of program graduates

3.00

Previous Year Total Number of Graduates:

19.00

Section 3. Substantive Changes

[2026 Annual Accreditation Report](#) : [Annual Accreditation Report](#) : [Section 3. Substantive Changes](#)

Please report on any of the following substantive changes that may have occurred at your Educator Preparation Provider (EPP) or institution/organization since the submission of the prior year s Annual Accreditation Report.

3.1. Has there been any change in the EPP s legal status, form of control, or ownership?

- Change
 No Change/Not Applicable

3.2. Has the EPP entered a contract with other providers for direct instructional services, including any teach out agreements?

- Change
 No Change / Not Applicable

3.3. Since the last reporting cycle, has the EPP seen a change in state program approval?

- Change
 No Change / Not Applicable

3.4. What is the institution s current regional accreditation status?

3.4.1. Institutional Accreditation Agency:

Middle States Commissio ▼

3.4.2. Institutional Accreditation Status:

Accredited/Accreditation F ▼

3.4.3. Does this represent a change in status from the prior year?

- Change
- No Change / Not Applicable

3.5. Since the last reporting cycle, does the EPP have any other substantive changes to report to CAEP per CAEP s Accreditation Policy?

Please see Policy V.4.01 of the [CAEP Accreditation Policies and Procedures](#) document for CAEP's definition of substantive changes.

- Change
- No Change / Not Applicable

Section 4. CAEP Accreditation Details on EPP s Website

2026 Annual Accreditation Report : Annual Accreditation Report :
Section 4. CAEP Accreditation Details on EPP s Website

Please update the EPP s public-facing website to include:

1. the EPP's current CAEP accreditation status with an accurate listing of the EPP's CAEP reviewed programs, and
2. the EPP's reported data for each of the required CAEP Accountability Measures for the 2024-2025 Academic Year.

4.1. URL Link for EPP s current CAEP Accreditation Status & Reviewed Programs

In the box below, please provide the direct URL link to the EPP's public facing webpage where its current CAEP accreditation status and a list of CAEP-reviewed programs are available.

<https://ppm.uprag.edu/>

4.2. CAEP Accountability Measures [2024-2025 Academic Year]

EPPs are required to provide data addressing the four CAEP Accountability Measures. These data must be

collected or reported for the 2024-2025 Academic Year (September 1, 2024 - August 31, 2025) and be relevant to the requirements outlined below. Data should be clearly labeled to each of the four CAEP Accountability Measures and made publicly available with explanations that are easily understood by a general audience.

- **Measure 1 (Initial): Completer effectiveness. (R4.1)**
 - Data must address: (a) completer impact in contributing to P-12 student-learning growth AND (b) completer effectiveness in applying professional knowledge, skills, and dispositions.
- **Measure 2 (Initial and Advanced): Satisfaction of employers and stakeholder involvement. (R4.2, R5.3, RA4.1)**
 - Data provided should be collected on employers' satisfaction with program completers.
- **Measure 3 (Initial and Advanced): Candidate competency at completion. (R3.3)**
 - Data provided should relate to measures the EPP is using to determine if candidates are meeting program expectations and ready to be recommended for licensure. (E.g.: EPP's Title II report, data that reflect the ability of EPP candidates to meet licensing and state requirements or other measures the EPP uses to determine candidate competency at completion.)
- **Measure 4 (Initial and Advanced): Ability of completers to be hired (in positions for which they have prepared).**
 - Data provided may come from various sources, including state or EPP collected data related to completers' employment in teaching positions for which they were prepared.

4.2.1. URL Link for EPP's Data Display of CAEP Accountability Measures - Initial-Licensure Level

In the box below, please provide the direct URL link to the EPP's public-facing webpage where data for the required CAEP Accountability Measures for initial-licensure level programs are available. If the EPP does not offer initial-licensure level programs, then please leave this box blank.

<https://ppm.uprag.edu/c>

4.2.2. URL Link for EPP's Data Display of CAEP Accountability Measures - Advanced Level

In the box below, please provide the direct URL link to the EPP's public-facing webpage where data for the required CAEP Accountability Measures for advanced level programs are available. If the link is the same as for the initial-licensure level, please provide it again in this box. If the EPP does not offer advanced-level programs, then please leave this box blank.

Section 5: Areas for Improvement and/or Stipulations

Section 5: Areas for Improvement and/or Stipulations

Summarize EPP activities and the outcomes of those activities as they relate to correcting the areas cited in the last Accreditation Action/Decision Report. The EPP will continue to report its action and progress on addressing its AFI(s) and/or stipulations until the EPP's next CAEP Accreditation Site Review.

To write your summary, please click the edit button next to each AFI/stipulation to edit the AFI/stipulation. Once there, please provide narrative in the "2026 Annual Accreditation Report Summary/Narrative and Supporting Documents" box at the bottom of the page.

If you do not have any AFIs or stipulations listed, please mark this section complete.

AFI/Stipulation

Component	Type	Status	Rationale
R4.2 Satisfaction of Employers	AFI	Open	The EPP had not provided 3 cycles of data. The two cycles provided were minimal with one response to a survey for one cycle and a letter of support from one principal. The data provided were not able to be analyzed or actionable.
R5.3 Stakeholder Involvement	AFI	Open	Although the EPP included internal stakeholders in program design and evaluation, it had just begun an Advisory Committee designed to include external stakeholders in a systematic way. The Advisory Committee had only met once at the time of the site review.
R5.2 Data Quality	AFI	Open	While the EPP has provided reliability studies conducted on its EPP-created assessments, there was insufficient evidence that steps had been followed to meet research standards for establishing the validity of data from the EPP-created assessments.
R1.4 Professional Responsibility	AFI	Open	There was a newly created professional dispositions rubric. However, there was

only one cycle of data.		
R5.4 Continuous Improvement	AFI	Open
Although the EPP provided a few examples of changes based on data, there was insufficient evidence that it regularly, and continuously tracked outcomes over time, analyzed data to determine trends, and systematically used results for program improvement.		
Items per page: 10 1 5 of 5 < >		

Section 6. EPP s Continuous Improvement & Progress on (advanced level) Phase-in Plans and (initial-licensure level) Transition Plans

**2026 Annual Accreditation Report : Annual Accreditation Report :
Section 6. EPP s Continuous Improvement & Progress on (advanced level) Phase-in Plans and (initial-licensure level) Transition Plans**

6.1. Summarize any data-driven EPP-wide or programmatic modifications, innovations, or changes planned, worked on, or completed in the last academic year.

This is an opportunity to share targeted continuous improvement efforts your EPP is proud of. Focus on one to two major efforts the EPP made and the relationship among data examined, changes, progress with any Transition Plans (initial-licensure level) and/or Phase-in Plans (advanced level), and studying the results of those changes.

During the 2024-2025 and 2025-2026 academic years, the UPRAg EPP focused on two primary strategic efforts driven by our Quality Assurance System (QAS) and prior Areas for Improvement (AFIs).

Effort 1: Strengthening Instrument Validity and Stakeholder Governance (Standard 5)

Driven by the need to ensure the reliability of our findings, the EPP implemented a rigorous multi-stage validation process:

1. Establishment of the Assessment Committee: A formal committee was established in 2024-2025, comprising the Department Director, Practice Coordinator/Supervisor, and CAEP Coordinator.

2. Expert Review Panels: The EPP engaged nine external experts from diverse institutions (UPR,

Nova Southeastern University, AGM) to evaluate the structural and content validity of key assessments. In 2024-2025, work was completed on Assessments 4.1 and 4.2 (Student Teaching Evaluations). In 2025-2026, this expanded to Assessment 7 (Professional Dispositions) and Assessment 8 (Electronic Portfolios).

3. Stakeholder Integration: Following expert validation, the Advisory Committee including new alumni and student representatives reviews the final instruments. This ensures that our "closing the loop" process includes the voices of those directly impacted by the data, bridging the gap between theoretical validity and clinical practice.

Effort 2: Technological Transformation in Data Analysis and Tracking (Standard R5.4)

Recognizing the need for more efficient longitudinal tracking and sophisticated data interpretation, the EPP launched a digital modernization initiative:

1. AI-Driven Analysis: To enhance the interpretation of our Professional Dispositions (R1.4) data now entering its third consecutive cycle the EPP invested in the Generative Artificial Intelligence Trainer (GAIT) certification and participation in the AI Fest in San Juan (2026). This has allowed the CAEP Coordinator to move beyond basic spreadsheet management to advanced AI-assisted outcome analysis.

2. System Evaluation: Following participation in Fall 2024 CAEPCon, the EPP moved into the exploratory and evaluation phase of the Watermark platform. With two consultative meetings completed to date, the EPP is evaluating this system as an alternative to centralize longitudinal tracking and electronic portfolios, replacing manual manual data collection with a robust, integrated software solution.

Relationship to Transition Plans and Progress

These innovations directly address our Transition Plan for moving from single-cycle data snapshots to a longitudinal evidence base. By the end of the 2025-2026 cycle, the EPP will have three full years of validated data for Professional Dispositions. The combination of expert-validated rubrics and AI-enhanced analysis provides the EPP with a high degree of confidence in the accuracy of our "Program Impact" reporting.

Section 7: Feedback for CAEP & Report Preparer's Authorization

2026 Annual Accreditation Report : Annual Accreditation Report : Section 7: Feedback for CAEP & Report Preparer's Authorization

7.1. [OPTIONAL] Does the EPP have any questions about CAEP Standards, CAEP sufficiency criteria, or the CAEP accreditation process generally?

Insert text here ...

7.2. Preparer's authorization. By checking the box below, I indicate that I am authorized by the EPP to complete the 2026 EPP Annual Report, and that the details provided in this report and linked webpages are up-to-date and accurate at the time of submission.

I am authorized to complete this report.

I understand that all the information that is provided to CAEP from EPPs seeking initial accreditation, continuing accreditation, or having completed the accreditation process is considered the property of CAEP and may be used for training, research, and data review. CAEP reserves the right to compile and issue data derived from accreditation documents.

See [CAEP Accreditation Policies and Procedures](#).

Acknowledge

Semester of EPP s next CAEP Site Review

This is listed for informational purposes only. If you notice an issue with this information, please reach out to CAEP staff.

Next Visit Date [Semester] - Initial-Licensure Level

Fall ▼

Next Visit Date [Year] - Initial-Licensure Level

2031 ▼

Next Visit Date [Semester] - Advanced Level

Select Option ▼

Next Visit Date [Year] - Advanced Level

Select Option ▼